

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,046	C	07/29/2003	Robert B. Karnes	64314-00003USPT	9281	
30223	7590	03/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JENKENS & GILCHRIST, P.C. BIDWELL, JAMES R					, JAMES R	
225 WEST	WASHING	TON				
SUITE 260	0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO.	IL 60606	5		3651		

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·&C					
~ /	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/631	,046	KARNES, ROBERT B.	'			
○ Office Action Summary	Examir		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this commun		R Bidwell	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	nication appears on	ine cover sneet with the t	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD & THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be ting statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communicati ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>20 February</i> :	2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.							
3) Since this application is in condition	, _						
closed in accordance with the prac-	tice under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)	are withdrawn from re allowed. are rejected.	consideration.					
Application Papers							
_	e e production of						
9) The specification is objected to by the specific to the specific at the specific		h) ☐ objected to by the	Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any obj							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		•	· •	(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati * See the attached detailed Office acti	y documents have by documents have be of the priority docu	een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	0.65						
TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Sum	mary Q / P	art of Paper No (Mail Date 20050	1310			

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 17, 19, 22, 23 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Savolainen et al. (U.S. Patent 4,636,181).

Savolainen et al. show a chain 1 having a first link with a male end with bosses 5 and 6 and a second link with a female end 2 which receives the bosses and pivots about them. The boss of the female end 2 extends parallel to the boss of the male end.

Re claim 19, 2 can be considered a track contact member which is around the bosses.

Re claim 22, the bosses are of a predetermined size and the female boss rotates about the male boss. The female boss is also perpendicular to a plane in which rotation occurs.

Re claim 23, the chain is molded and the fibers may run in the claimed direction.

Re claim 25, Figure 5 shows I-shaped sections.

Re claim 26, see ribs 3 and 4.

Re claim 27, the ribs extend away from the bosses.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Savolainen et al. in view of Christmas (U.S. Patent 6,321,523).

Savolainen et al. do not show the use of a sleeve bearing. However, shown by Christmas is the use of a sleeve bearing 6. To use such on Savolainen et al would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of this teaching as the use of bearings in chains is extremely well know and conventional.

Claim 21 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-16, 29-35 and 38-44 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed 2/10/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claim 17 is an extremely broad claim that only requires two bosses that are parallel to one another with one of them rotating about the other. This is shown by the applied reference with its two parallel bosses with one rotating about the other.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3651

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to James R Bidwell at telephone number (703)308-1144.

JRB

09-30-2004

JANES R. BIDWELL 3/10/05
PRIMARY EXAMINER